

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-	10/609,296	0	6/27/2003	Poul Baad Rasmussen	0228us420	5764	
	30560	7590	05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	MAXYGE	•		SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN			
	515 GALVE		OPERTY DEPARTN IVE	AEN I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	RED WOOD	CITY, C	A 94063		1647		

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
	_	10/609,29	96	RASMUSSEN ET AL.						
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Jegathees	an Seharaseyon, Ph.D	1647						
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☐ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	4)									
Application Papers										
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>										
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	D-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail D		J. 35/00)	6) Other:	11						

Application/Control Number: 10/609,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, 20-22, 28, 30-37, 38, 40, 41, 44-72 and 80-82 drawn to an interferon β polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 399.
- II. Claims 23-27, 39, 73-76, 86 and 87, drawn to a nucleic acid, expression vector, and host cells comprising same encoding the polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- III. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to a method of increasing *in vivo* glycosylation of a IFN  $\beta$  molecule, classified in class 514, subclass 8.
- IV. Claims 29 and 79, drawn to method for preparing a conjugate, classified in class 514, subclass 8.
- V. Claims 42-43 and 83-85, drawn to method of treating a mammal with a disease for which IFN  $\beta$  is a useful treatment, classified in class 424, subclass 85.6.
- VI. Claims 77-78, drawn to method of reducing immunogenicity, classified in class 424, subclass 85.6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions I, and II are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be

exchanged. The polypeptide of invention I can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening. The polynucleotide of invention II can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest. In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these products.

Page 3

Inventions I and (III-VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention II can be used in assays for the identification of aganoist and antaganoist of the polypeptide.

Inventions II and (III-VI) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions III-VI are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Art Unit: 1647

In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these inventions. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re* 

Art Unit: 1647

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda

Application/Control Number: 10/609,296

Art Unit: 1647

Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS 04/06

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Christne J. Saoud

Page 6